descriptively and organically. In Megrelian, modalized evidentiality is also expressed lexically (descriptively) and grammatically (by means of verb paradigms i.e. screeves). The given paper focuses on the issue of qualification of epistemic-evidential screeves in Megrelian. It should be mentioned that such verb-forms were noted, distinguished and described in the Georgian scientific literature at the end of the past century, yet, they were qualified differently. When speaking of the organic (morphological) formation of evidentialepistemic forms, we imply complex forms expressed by means of Present Subjunctive or Conditional I, as well as additional means (i"i/i"uapu "may be", i"idu/i"uapudu "would be"). Initially, the distinction of these forms was closely related to the formation of the future tense, and the forms of both types were termed as follows: Future Continuous: Parundas i"i/i"uapu "he/she may be writing"; arunduko i"idu/i"uapudu "would be writing". Later, the forms were separately termed as: Future Continuous: arundas i"i/i"uapu "may be writing" and Conditional Continuous: arunduko i"idu/i"uapudu "would be writing". Later, the forms expressed by means of Present Subjunctive and additional means were termed as Present Continuous Subjunctive. It should be noted that consideration of opinions of different authors (Z. Chumburidze, I. Kobalava, K. Margiani) and the evaluation of the forms in question from the viewpoint of evidentiality have enabled their qualification as evidential-epistemic screeves. Namely, based on the corresponding methodological approach, it has been concluded that: 1. In Megrelian, there are two evidential-epistemic screeves: Evidential-Epistemic Present and Evidential-Epistemic Imperfect I. 2. Evidential-Epistemic Presentis expressed by means of Present Subjunctive and i"i/i"uapu "may be" modal form. 3. Evidential-Epistemic Imperfect I is expressed by means of Conditional I and the modal form i"idu/i"uapudu "would be". 4. The complex forms of evidential-epistemic screeves express dubitable-probable actions in the present and past. In this, they are opposed to actions expressed by means of neutral present and continuous tenses. 5. The Megrelian complex forms of evidential-epistemic screeves are expressed in Georgian by means of neutral present or continuous verb-forms and the modality adverb "probably". 6. In spite of the fact that evidentialepistemic screeves consist of two parts and are of complex structure, they are semantically unified and they perfectly fall within the tense system. Ref. 8.

Auth.

1.6.2.1.10. To express the semantics of diversity in Georgian. /T. Mamardashvili/. Journal Language and Culture. – 2020. – #23. – pp. 58-63. – geo.; abs.: geo., eng.

The form of a quality is obtained on the basis of a confrontation, relationship and comparison of a certain sign or trait of objects, and indicates the sign of the object. We usually talk about quality in relation to adjectives, because it directly expresses a trait that can be more or less quantity, the ability to produce different quality and quality is mainly related to situational adjectives, rarely to relative ones, and if one acquires this skill, it passes into the situational group. A lesser degree of subject matter is expressed in the form of a slight degree of quality. The relation to the positive of the form of the superior quality is not the same as the relation of the minor to the same positive, because the sign of the object is expressed by the superior in more quantity, indefinitely, and the slightest in lesser quantity, but with a kind of approximation. Descriptive production, though, is more a matter of conveying the content of a subject's mark, but sometimes it can also convey the slightest, lesser. Understandably, the understanding of singularity goes beyond the realm of the adjective, and the role of some prepositions more or less clearly in language is evident in the indication of the scarcity, infallibility, or slightness of action with verbs and in some forms even with the adverb. In modern Georgian, the prepositions mo-, tsa-, cha-, she-, tsamo- are used to express the smallness of the action, the small size, the relative lack of quality or volume, which, along with the main function, combine this understanding. This semantics is even more diverse in the dialects of the Georgian language. Ref. 7.

Auth.

1.6.2.1.11. On non-manual grammatical marking in GESL. /T. Makharoblidze/. Journal Language and Culture. – 2020. – #23. – pp. 64-69. – geo.; abs.: geo., eng.

In GESL there are a few types of non-manual marking. One very interesting case is eye gaze argument marking (EGA). It may occur as a subject and/or an indirect object. Incorporated verbs with EGA are shortening the verbal kinetics. Plain verbs can have the EGA including body anchored verbs, such as 'love'. Thus, EGA makes